Description of the helminth community structure from two populations of Plains Viscacha (Rodentia: Chinchillidae) in semi-captive and wild conditions
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Abstract

*Lagostomus maximus* is a native rodent of South America that presents economic and biological importance. However, few studies on parasites of this rodent are available throughout its geographic distribution. The aim of this study was to explore, describe and compare the structure of helminth communities from 2 populations of *L. maximus* in semi-captive (SCHP) and wild (WHP) conditions in Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. The structure of helminth communities was studied considering ecological data at different levels. Seven helminth taxa were collected. *Graphidioides* spp. and *Lagostonema ecasiense* were the most prevalent species in SCHP, and *Viannella viscaciae* in WHP. This last species showed the highest values of mean intensity and mean abundance in both host populations. Helminths from SCHP showed higher values of abundance, mean intensity, mean abundance, diversity, and evenness, and lower values of specific richness and dominance than WHP. Specific richness, evenness and dominance allowed separating the 2 host populations. The abundances of *V. viscaciae* and *Graphidioides* spp. distinguished both host populations, suggesting possible influences of human intervention and/or environmental characteristics, as consequence of semi-captive and wild conditions.
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Resumen

*Lagostomus maximus* es un roedor autóctono de América del Sur que presenta importancia económica y biológica. Sin embargo, se dispone de pocos estudios sobre sus parásitos en toda su distribución geográfica. El objetivo de este estudio fue explorar, describir y comparar la estructura de las comunidades de helmintos de 2 poblaciones de *L. maximus*.
Introduction

Parasite community structure and species composition can be influenced and modified by variations in biotic and abiotic factors such as human activities, translocation of host species, toxic pollution, and numerous variables related to ecological aspects (e.g., type of habitat, ecology, environmental conditions) (Ibrahim, 2012; Muñoz & Castro, 2012; Sapp & Esch, 1994; Thieltges et al., 2008). In addition, some parasites that are rarely pathogenic may become important population regulators when hosts are stressed. It is widely accepted that prolonged stress decreases immune function, leaving individuals more susceptible to infection (Sapolsky et al., 2000; Webster et al., 2002).

The Plains Viscacha, *Lagostomus maximus* (Desmarest, 1817) (Rodentia: Chinchillidae), is a medium size herbivorous rodent native to South America (Cirignoli & Lartigau, 2019; Spotorno & Patton, 2015). In Argentina, it is distributed in different ecoregions that include protected natural areas, agroecosystems and Wildlife Parks with different levels of human intervention (Cirignoli & Lartigau, 2019; Jackson et al., 1996; Sutton & Durette-Desset, 1987, 1995).

Some studies have been conducted on particular species of helminths found in Plains Viscacha in semi-captive (Sutton & Durette-Desset, 1987, 1995) or wild (Canova et al., 2021; Ferreyra et al., 2007; Foster et al., 2002; Martínez, 1988; Railliet & Henry, 1909; Rossanigo et al., 1986; Schuurmans-Stekhoven, 1951) host populations. However, few studies have been conducted on helminth communities and none on host populations under different environmental conditions simultaneously (Foster et al., 2002; Martínez, 1988; Rossanigo et al., 1986).

The aim of this study was to explore, describe and compare the helminth communities’ structure in semi-captive and wild populations of *L. maximus* from Buenos Aires Province, Argentina, considering ecological data (specific richness, abundance, prevalence, intensity, diversity, evenness, and dominance), in order to suggest possible influences of human intervention and/or environmental characteristics.

Materials and methods

A total of 24 *L. maximus* specimens were collected from 2 regions of Buenos Aires Province, Argentina: 12 specimens in semi-captivity condition (SCHP: semi-captivity host population) corresponding to a northeastern region (Estación de Cría de Animales Silvestres, ECAS, Partido de Berazategui) between October 2017 and April 2018, and 12 specimens in wild condition (WHP: wild host population) corresponding to a southwest region (Estancia “La Bombilla”, Partido de Tornquist and Bahía Blanca city, Partido de Bahía Blanca) between August and November 2019.

ECAS (34°50'47.88" S, 58°7'16.48" W) has a 230 ha Wildlife Park that depends on Ministerio de Desarrollo Agrario of Buenos Aires Province, which promotes the breeding and protection of specimens in large areas fenced with wire. The Plains Viscacha specimens feed on natural food and forage that is provided to other animals on the farm, both native (e.g., Guanaco, Burrowing Owl, Black and white Tegu) and exotic (e.g., Axis Deer, Fallow Deer). Most of these species are not common in the natural habitats of Plains Viscacha, and their origin as well as the period in ECAS is unknown (www.gba.gob.ar/desarrollo_agrario/ecas). The southwest region includes Partido de Tornquist (38°06'00" S, 62°13'00" W) and Partido de Bahía Blanca (38°42'00" S, 62°16'00" W). The Plains Viscacha that inhabit this area are in a typical agroecosystem with livestock activity (Fig. 1). Currently, the distribution of most populations of Plains Viscacha coincides with peridomestic areas and agricultural-livestock activities, being the sampled environment a characteristic one of these rodents (Jackson et al., 1996).
The survey was conducted in compliance with Argentine laws. Sample collection was carried out during the fieldwork under official permits granted by the Dirección de Flora y Fauna, Buenos Aires Province and in accordance with the recommendations of the Guidelines for the capture, handling and care of mammals as approved by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes & The Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists, 2016). No endangered species were involved in this study.

The Plains Viscacha’s abdominal cavity, stomach, and small and large intestines were separated and preserved in 96% ethanol or 10% formalin and examined for parasites under a stereo-microscope (Olympus SZ61-TR). Helminths were collected and preserved in 70% ethanol. Nematodes were cleared with lactophenol, studied under light microscopy (Olympus BX51), and identified following specific literature. Cestodes were examined only under a stereo-microscope and most of them were immature. Representative specimens of the parasite species will be deposited in the Helminthological Collection of Museo de La Plata (MLP-He), La Plata, Buenos Aires.

The structure of helminth communities was studied at different levels following Bush et al. (1997), Esch et al. (2002) and Poulin (2004). The prevalence (P), mean intensity (MI) and mean abundance (MA) of each parasite species (component population level) were calculated for each region following Bush et al. (1997). Prevalence indices were compared with Fisher’s test (Reiczigel et al., 2019). Mean intensities and mean abundances were compared using bootstrap confidence intervals (BCα, p < 0.01) (Reiczigel et al., 2019). Moreover, the relative dominance based on the Berger-Parker dominance index (number of specimens of 1 species relative to the total number of specimens of all species) was calculated on species shared by both host populations (Magurran & McGill, 2011; Moreno, 2001).

The specific richness (S), abundance (A), Brillouin diversity index (HB), evenness index (E) and simple dominance index of Berger-Parker (D) were calculated at the infracommunity level (within an individual host) of each region (Magurran & McGill, 2011; Moreno, 2001). The values are expressed as mean, standard deviation, and range between parentheses. These variables were compared with bootstrap confidence intervals in the same way that MI and MA were compared at a component population level. Also, a principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out to evaluate the contribution of each infracommunity variable and the abundance of each parasitic species.

Results

A total of 13,735 helminth specimens were collected, which belonged to 7 taxa: 6 nematodes and 1 cestode.
Among these, 4 taxa from SCHP and 6 taxa from WHP were recorded. In detail, the Trichostrongylina taxa (Gibbons, 2010) were found in both host populations: Graphidioides spp., Viannella viscaciae and Lagostonema ecasiense; Heteroxynema viscaciae, Anoplocephalidae (Cestoda), and Trichuris sp. were found only in WHP and Strongyloides sp. only in SCHP (Table 1). Some taxa could not be identified at the species level due to the low number of specimens found (such as Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) because only females were found (Trichuris sp.) or due to the difficulty of differentiating the 2 possible species under the stereo-microscope (such as Graphidioides spp.).

The parasites that showed the highest values of prevalence were the nematodes Graphidioides spp. and L. ecasiense in SCHP, and V. viscaciae in WHP. In both populations, V. viscaciae reached the highest values of MI and MA. The MI and MA of Graphidioides spp. showed significant statistical differences between both host populations ($p < 0.01$). Among the 3 taxa found in both regions, V. viscaciae was more dominant (see relative dominance), and even greater in WHP than in SCHP (Table 1).

At the infracommunity level, SCHP showed higher values of abundance, diversity, and evenness, and lower values of specific richness and dominance than WHP. The bootstrap analysis showed significant statistical differences ($p < 0.01$) in these variables, except for the abundance (Table 2). In addition, the PCA showed that the first 2 components represented 82.6% of the variance and the infracommunities variables that most contributed to the differentiation of the host populations were specific richness, evenness and dominance (Fig. 2). Furthermore, respect to the abundance of each parasitic taxa, PCA showed that the first 2 components represented 49.3% of the variance and the helminths that most contributed to the differentiation of the host populations were H. viscaciae, Trichuris sp., Graphidioides spp. and V. viscaciae (Fig. 3).

At the component community level, helminths from SCHP showed higher values of abundance, MI, MA, Shannon-Wiener diversity and Pielou evenness index than WHP; while WHP showed higher values of specific richness and Berger-Parker dominance index than SCHP (Table 3). The Hutcheson test showed significant statistical differences in the Shannon-Wiener diversity between host populations ($p = < 0.001$). The SIMPER method showed a 65% dissimilarity between both populations and, V. viscaciae and Graphidioides spp. were the species that contributed the most to this dissimilarity (contribution percentage: 55% and 20%, respectively) (Table 4).

Table 1
Component population descriptors for helminths of Lagostomus maximus from 2 populations in semi-captive and wild conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite taxa</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
<th>MI</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>Relative dominance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCHP</td>
<td>WHP</td>
<td>Sig</td>
<td>SCHP</td>
<td>WHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphidioides spp.</td>
<td>st</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. ecasiense Sutton and Durette-Desset, 1987</td>
<td>si</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. viscaciae Goodey, 1925</td>
<td>si</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>312.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongyloides sp.</td>
<td>st</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>270.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. viscaciae Hugot and Sutton, 1989</td>
<td>ca and ac</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichuris sp.</td>
<td>ca</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestode</td>
<td>si</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P: Prevalence of each parasite taxa as percentage, MI: mean intensity, MA: mean abundance. st: Stomach, si: small intestine, ca: caecum, ac: ascending colon. SCHP: Semi-captivity host population (N = 12), WHP: wild host population (N = 12). Sig: Statistical significance ** $p < 0.01$, ns: no significative.
Discussion

Previous surveys had reported a total of 15 endoparasite species in Plains Viscacha, 11 helminths (2 in SCHP and 11 in WHP), and 4 coccidians (1 in SCHP and 3 in WHP) (Canova et al., 2021; Couch et al., 2001; Cwirenbaum et al., 2021; Ferreyra et al., 2007; Foster et al., 2002; Martinez, 1988; Railliet & Henry, 1909; Rossanigo et al., 1986; Schuurmans-Stekhoven, 1951; Sutton & Durette-Desset, 1987, 1995). In the present study, *Strongyloides* sp. is
reported for the first time in this host, and *V. viscaciae*, *H. viscaciae*, *Trichuris* sp., and Cestoda Anoplocephalidae are reported for the first time in Buenos Aires Province. Furthermore, this is the first study on the helminth community structure that allows exploring possible effects of human intervention and/or environmental conditions in populations of this rodent species. In this context, the results of the abundances of helminth species, *Graphidioides* spp. and *V. viscaciae*, allow separation of both host populations (SCHP vs. WHP), so these species could be considered as tags. Moreover, the specific richness of helminths from SCHP was lower than WHP. In addition, the significant differences in specific richness, diversity, evenness and dominance, allow us to characterize both populations and use these infracomunity variables as good indicators. Additionally, the Hutcheson test at component community level shows that SCHP is characterized by a higher diversity than WHP. The effect of anthropogenic environmental changes on parasite infracommunities is known, especially in fish and can be

Table 3

Component community descriptors for helminths of *Lagostomus maximus* from 2 populations in semi-captive and wild conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component community variable</th>
<th>SCHP</th>
<th>WHP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6,888</td>
<td>6,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>570.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>570.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H’</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J’</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

extended to other groups of vertebrate hosts (Mascarenhas et al., 2021). The SCHP frequent environment with high human intervention, taking into account the introduction of exotic species, bare soil, and balanced feed; resulting in a possible influence on the richness and dominance of helminth species observed, shows lower values than in WHP, where the anthropogenic intervention is less. Therefore, the high parasitic diversity value obtained in SCHP is supported by the high abundance and low richness.

Different studies have shown that individuals fed ad libitum would have greater ingestion of parasite eggs than those with a lower consumption rate (food restricted) (Bundy & Golden, 1987). On the other hand, other surveys have shown that some plants have antiparasitic properties, and their consumption can lead to a lower parasite load (Bautista-Sopelana et al., 2022; Villalba et al., 2017). In addition, some studies of herbivorous rodents, such as capybaras, indicate that when there is little grass left (nutritional stress) they can feed close to the ground and, therefore, become more prone to ingest parasite propagules (Beldomenico & Begon, 2015). Wild animals that are maintained in captivity are forced to face situations for which they are not genetically prepared (Aguilar-Cucurachi et al., 2011). The stress caused by the new environment and the new conditions in which individuals find themselves can decrease their immune function, physiological condition and resistance to parasitic infections. In this situation, parasites will encounter less opposition to establish and reproduce in the host, producing a synergistic effect between parasitic infection and stress (Beldomenico & Begon 2009, 2015, Eberhardt et al., 2013; Khatun et al., 2014). The stress scenario described in relation to diet and the intra- and interspecific relationships between individuals is observed in the semi-captive population, supporting the high abundance of parasites observed in this study.

Parasites are considered an important source of information concerning to stability of ecosystems (Marcogliese, 2005), and numerous publications propose the role of parasites, particularly helminths, as biological tags of environmental impacts (Fuentes et al., 2010; Jankovská et al., 2009; Sures, 2001). In this paper, the study of the helmint communities at different levels permitted to distinguish both host populations. Despite the fact that in this study, both host populations share 3 helminth species, a variation in the abundance of 2 of them (V. viscaciae and Graphidioides spp.) was observed, allowing to differentiate host populations (SCHP vs. WHP), with different human intervention.

This first study constitutes an exploration of the host and environmental distribution of different species of helminths, and the observed results are a basis for studies in other environments and with a greater number of Plains Viscacha specimens, which will allow reaching inferences in relation to the host characteristics (e.g., sex and size), and specific factors of each region.
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### Table 4
Results of SIMPER method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasitic taxa</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Ava</th>
<th>Avb</th>
<th>Cumsum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V. viscaciae Goodey, 1925</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>286.5</td>
<td>477.7</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphidioides spp.</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. ecasiense Sutton and Durette-Desset, 1987</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>54.42</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongyloides sp.</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>90.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. viscaciae Hugot and Sutton, 1989</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestode Anoplocephalidae</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichuris sp.</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average: Species contribution to average between-group dissimilarity, Sd: standard deviation of contribution, Ratio: average to sd ratio, Ava/Avb: average abundances per group, Cumsum: ordered cumulative contribution.
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